1 2	Ryan J. Villa 5501 Eagle Rock Ave NE, Ste C2 Albuquerque, NM 87113		
3	(505) 639-5709		
4	Attorney for Defendant, KENNETH JOHNSON		
5	KENNETH JOHNSON		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal case No. 20-CR-238-JLT-SKO	
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO FILE OPPOSITION	
11		TO THIRD PARTY EDD MOTION TO QUASH [ECF 1414]; [PROPOSED]	
12		ORDER DENYING STIPULATION	
13	V.	DATE: January 6, 2025 TIME: 1:30 p.m.	
14	KENNETH JOHNSON, et a.,	PLACE: Hon. Jennifer L. Thurston	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Defendant Mr. Kenneth Johnson, by counsel, The Law Office of Ryan J. Villa, by Ryan J. Villa, and Andrea Luem, and Third Party Employment Development Department (EDD), by		
18			
19	counsel Kallie D. Durkit, submits the following stipulation pursuant to the Notice of Motion and		
20			
21	Motion to Quash [Dkt. 1414].		
22	1. Third Party EDD filed its Notice of Motion and Motion to Quash on November 20, 2024.		
23	2. The deadline for Defendant Kenneth Johnson to file an opposition is December 2, 2024.		
24	3. By this stipulation, the parties now move to extend Defendant Kenneth Johnson's deadline		
25	to file his opposition to December 9, 2024.		
26	4. Any reply from EDD will be due December 16, 2024.		
27	i. The reprisition LDD will be due Dec		

Case 1:20-cr-00238-JLT-SKO Document 1464 Filed 12/02/24 Page 2 of 2

1	5. The parties request the Court hear this Motion during the January 6, 2025, pretrial hearing	
2	IT IS SO STIPLII ATED	
3	D 1 D 1 2 2021	/s/ RYAN VILLA /s/ ANDREA L. LUEM
4		ANDREA L. LUEM RYAN VILLA
5		Counsel for Defendant KENNETH JOHNSON
6 7	Dated: December 2, 2024	/s/ KALLIE D. DURKIT KALLIE D. DURKIT Deputy Attorney General
8		Counsel for EDD
9	ORDER	
10	Due to the volume of motions being filed in this case, the fact that the issues raised in this	
11	motion arose in July 2024 (Doc. 1414-1 at 2), the fact that the motion was set on a schedule	
12	developed by counsel (Id.), and the fact that this stipulation leaves insufficient time for the Court	
13	to consider it—and the other pretrial motions filed in this case—the stipulation is DENIED .	
14	IT IS SO ODDEDED	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Ocarilla I Tarras dos
16	Dated: <u>December 2, 2024</u>	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	7	V-122 333323 233323 23
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	5	
27	7	